

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,926	02/14/2002	Takashi Yasumura	001514A	5329
23850 7:	590 02/05/2004	EXAMINER		
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			SHORT, PATRICIA A	
1725 K STREE	1725 K STREET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1000 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006	20006		

DATE MAILED: 02/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/073,926	YASUMURA ET AL.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patricia A. Short	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attachment.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	,					
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>6, 8-13 and 15</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Art Unit: 1712

The rejection of claims 6, 8-13 and 15 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoene is maintained for reasons of record. The graft compatibilizer taught by Hoene may contain a principal chain formed from unsaturated monomer in an amount of 70% by weight based upon the mixture of unsaturated monomer and polyalkylene ether and thus, a side chain polyether in an amount of 30 % as required in the claims. The graft may be used in amounts as low as 0.1 percent and additional shrink reducing addition polymer may be added in amounts from 1 to 30 percent by weight. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine as little as 0.1 percent by weight of the graft copolymer having a weight ratio of principal chain to side chain of 70/30 with an unsaturated polyester, unsaturated monomer and 1 to 30 percent by weight of shrink reducing addition polymer in order to obtain a low shrink unsaturated polyester composition.

P. Short
January 29, 2004
Phone (571) 272-1094
Fax (703) 872-9306

PATRICIA A. SHORT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Petruc Ce Shit